

Relationships Among Various Forms of Fermi-Dirac Integrals of Low Order

S.B. Trickey^{1,*}

¹Quantum Theory Project, Departments of Physics and of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611
(Dated: 04 Mar. 2013, Version 1; NOT for circulation outside UF)

Different integral forms all are called Fermi-Dirac integrals. The relationship between two such forms is worked out here.

I. DIFFERING DEFINITIONS

Since 1938 at least¹, the basic Fermi-Dirac integral has been given in the form^{2,3}

$$I_\alpha(\eta) := \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^\alpha}{1 + \exp(x - \eta)}, \quad \alpha > -1 \quad (1)$$

$$I_{\alpha-1}(\eta) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{d}{d\eta} I_\alpha(\eta). \quad (2)$$

This is the form which Karasiev, Sjostrom, and I used in our paper on finite-T generalized gradient approximations for the non-interacting free energy⁴.

Blakemore⁵ starts with the same definition but calls it F_j . He attributes the definition (1) to Sommerfeld in 1928. Blakemore then introduces what I call F_α , namely

$$F_\alpha(\eta) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} I_\alpha(\eta). \quad (3)$$

Huang⁶, on the other hand, introduces

$$f_{3/2}(x) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dy \frac{y^2}{x^{-1} e^{y^2+1}}. \quad (4)$$

This is the form that Jim Dufty is accustomed to using.

Obviously the two forms (Blakemore, Huang) are related but a little care is needed to make sure that expansions given by Blakemore for F_α are written correctly when the variables are changed appropriately to the Huang form.

II. VARIABLE CHANGES

In (4), let

$$x^{-1} = e^{-w} \quad (5)$$

so

$$f_{3/2}(e^w) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dy \frac{y^2}{e^{y^2-w} + 1}. \quad (6)$$

Then let $u = y^2$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{3/2}(e^w) &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{2} \frac{u^{1/2}}{e^{u-w} + 1} \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{du u^{1/2}}{e^{u-w} + 1} \\ &= F_{1/2}(w). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Or obviously

$$f_{3/2}(w) = F_{1/2}(\ln w). \quad (8)$$

III. EXPANSIONS

At Blakemore's Eq. (25), he reports a fit due to Aymerich-Humet *et al.*⁷. It reads

$$F_\alpha(\eta) = [e^{-\eta} + \xi_\alpha(\eta)]^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

For $\alpha = 1/2$, the function ξ is given by

$$\xi_{1/2}(\eta) = 3 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[(\eta + 2.13) + (|\eta - 2.13|^{2.4} + 9.6)^{5/12} \right]^{-3/2}. \quad (10)$$

For use in terms of $f_{3/2}$, eq. (8) with eq. (9) yields

$$f_{3/2}(\eta) = [\eta^{-1} + \xi_{1/2}(\ln \eta)]^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the U.S. Dept. of Energy TMS program, grant DE-SC0002139.

* Electronic address: trickey@qtp.ufl.edu

¹ J. McDougall and E.C. Stoner, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A, Math. Phys. Sci. **237**, 67-104 (1938).

² Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A, Math. Phys. Sci. **204**, 396-405

(1950)

³ J. Bartel, M. Brack, and M. Durand, Nucl. Phys. A **445**, 263 (1985)

⁴ V.V. Karasiev, Travis Sjostrom, and S.B. Trickey, Phys.

Rev. B **86**, 115101 (2012)

⁵ J.S. Blakemore, Sol. State Electr. **25**, 1067-1076 (1982).

⁶ K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*, (NY, J. Wiley and Sons, 1963), Eq. (11.2) p. 224.

⁷ X. Aymerich-Humet, F. Serra-Mestres, and J. Millan, Sol. State Electr. **24**, 981 (1981).